

DIFFERENTIABILITY OF OPERATOR FUNCTIONS AND APPLICATION TO TRACE FORMULA

Clément Coine
Central South University

Postdoctoral defense

Changsha, October 29, 2019

- Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of compact operators on \mathcal{H} . We define, for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \|T\|_p = \text{tr}(|T|^p)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}), \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach space called Schatten class of order p .

- Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of compact operators on \mathcal{H} . We define, for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \|T\|_p = \text{tr}(|T|^p)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}), \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach space called Schatten class of order p .

- Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a Lipschitz function. If $1 < p < \infty$ and A, B are selfadjoint operators on \mathcal{H} such that $A - B \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ then

$$f(A) - f(B) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$$

(D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, 2011)

Let $1 < p < \infty$, let A, K be selfadjoint operators on \mathcal{H} such that K is bounded. Define

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \quad (\in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}) \text{ if } K \in \mathcal{S}^p).$$

Differentiability properties of φ ?

Differentiability of first order

- Yu. L. Daletskii and S. G. Krein (1956)

If $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and A is bounded, then φ is differentiable in the operator norm.

Differentiability of first order

- Yu. L. Daletskii and S. G. Krein (1956)

If $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and A is bounded, then φ is differentiable in the operator norm.

- Yu. B. Farforovskaya (1976)

" $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with bounded derivative" is not sufficient to ensure the differentiability of φ in the operator norm.

Differentiability of first order

- Yu. L. Daletskii and S. G. Krein (1956)

If $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and A is bounded, then φ is differentiable in the operator norm.

- Yu. B. Farforovskaya (1976)

" $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with bounded derivative" is not sufficient to ensure the differentiability of φ in the operator norm.

- E. Kissin, D. Potapov, V. Shulman, F. Sukochev (2012)

If f is differentiable with bounded derivative, then φ is S^p -differentiable.

Functions of Operators

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $A = A^*$, $B = B^* \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k P_k \quad \text{and} \quad B = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j Q_j$$

Functions of Operators

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $A = A^*$, $B = B^* \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k P_k \quad \text{and} \quad B = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j Q_j$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$f(A) - f(B) = \sum_{k=1}^N f(\lambda_k) P_k - \sum_{j=1}^N f(\mu_j) Q_j$$

Functions of Operators

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $A = A^*$, $B = B^* \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k P_k \quad \text{and} \quad B = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j Q_j$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) - f(B) &= \sum_{k=1}^N f(\lambda_k) P_k - \sum_{j=1}^N f(\mu_j) Q_j \\ &= \sum_{k,j=1}^N \frac{f(\lambda_k) - f(\mu_j)}{\lambda_k - \mu_j} \underbrace{P_k (A - B) Q_j}_{X_{k,j}} \end{aligned}$$

Functions of Operators

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $A = A^*$, $B = B^* \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k P_k \quad \text{and} \quad B = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j Q_j$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) - f(B) &= \sum_{k=1}^N f(\lambda_k) P_k - \sum_{j=1}^N f(\mu_j) Q_j \\ &= \sum_{k,j=1}^N \frac{f(\lambda_k) - f(\mu_j)}{\lambda_k - \mu_j} \underbrace{P_k (A - B) Q_j}_{X_{k,j}} \\ &= [f^{[1]}(\lambda_k, \mu_j)]_{k,j} \circ (A - B) \end{aligned}$$

Schur multipliers

Let $M = (m_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded family. Let

$$T_M : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [m_{ij}a_{ij}]$$

Schur multipliers

Let $M = (m_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded family. Let

$$T_M : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [m_{ij}a_{ij}]$$

Applications in Harmonic Analysis, Perturbation theory...

Schur multipliers

Let $M = (m_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded family. Let

$$T_M : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [m_{ij}a_{ij}]$$

Applications in Harmonic Analysis, Perturbation theory...

Boundedness properties of T_M ?

Schur multipliers

Let $M = (m_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded family. Let

$$T_M : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [m_{ij}a_{ij}]$$

Applications in Harmonic Analysis, Perturbation theory...

Boundedness properties of T_M ?

- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$ is bounded.

Schur multipliers

Let $M = (m_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded family. Let

$$T_M : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [m_{ij}a_{ij}]$$

Applications in Harmonic Analysis, Perturbation theory...

Boundedness properties of T_M ?

- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$ is bounded.
- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1$ or $T_M : \mathcal{B}(l_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l_2) \rightsquigarrow$ Grothendieck's theorem.

Schur multipliers

Let $M = (m_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded family. Let

$$T_M : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [m_{ij}a_{ij}]$$

Applications in Harmonic Analysis, Perturbation theory...

Boundedness properties of T_M ?

- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$ is bounded.
- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1$ or $T_M : \mathcal{B}(l_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l_2) \rightsquigarrow$ Grothendieck's theorem.
- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p, 1 < p \neq 2 < \infty \rightsquigarrow$ Open problem.

Schur multipliers

Let $M = (m_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded family. Let

$$T_M : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [m_{ij}a_{ij}]$$

Applications in Harmonic Analysis, Perturbation theory...

Boundedness properties of T_M ?

- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$ is bounded.
- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1$ or $T_M : \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) \rightsquigarrow$ Grothendieck's theorem.
- $T_M : \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p, 1 < p \neq 2 < \infty \rightsquigarrow$ Open problem.

Particular case : when $m_{ij} = f^{[1]}(i, j) = \frac{f(i) - f(j)}{i - j}$ where f is a Lipschitz function, then

$$\|T_M : \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p\| \leq C \frac{p^2}{p-1} \|f\|_{Lip} \quad (\text{Potapov \& Sukochev, 2011})$$

- $T_M : \mathcal{B}(l_p, l_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l_p, l_q) \rightsquigarrow$ Studied by Bennett ('77).

- $T_M : \mathcal{B}(\ell_p, \ell_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_p, \ell_q) \rightsquigarrow$ Studied by Bennett ('77).

THEOREM (C.C., 2018)

Assume that $q \leq p$. The following are equivalent :

- 1 $T_M : \mathcal{B}(\ell_p, \ell_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_p, \ell_q)$ is bounded.

- $T_M : \mathcal{B}(\ell_p, \ell_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_p, \ell_q) \rightsquigarrow$ Studied by Bennett ('77).

THEOREM (C.C., 2018)

Assume that $q \leq p$. The following are equivalent :

- 1 $T_M : \mathcal{B}(\ell_p, \ell_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_p, \ell_q)$ is bounded.
- 2 There exist a measure space (a probability space when $p \neq q$) (Ω, μ) and two bounded sequences $(x_j)_j$ in $L^p(\mu)$ and $(y_i)_i$ in $L^{q'}(\mu)$ such that

$$\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}, m_{ij} = \langle x_j, y_i \rangle .$$

- $T_M : \mathcal{B}(l_p, l_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l_p, l_q) \rightsquigarrow$ Studied by Bennett ('77).

THEOREM (C.C., 2018)

Assume that $q \leq p$. The following are equivalent :

- 1 $T_M : \mathcal{B}(l_p, l_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l_p, l_q)$ is bounded.
- 2 There exist a measure space (a probability space when $p \neq q$) (Ω, μ) and two bounded sequences $(x_j)_j$ in $L^p(\mu)$ and $(y_i)_i$ in $L^q(\mu)$ such that

$$\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}, m_{ij} = \langle x_j, y_i \rangle.$$

- 3 The mapping $u_M : l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty$ whose matrix is M has a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 l_1 & \xrightarrow{u_M} & l_\infty \\
 R \downarrow & & \uparrow S \\
 L^p(\mu) & \hookrightarrow & L^q(\mu)
 \end{array}$$

Multiple operator integrals

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be n normal operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, λ_{A_i} be a scalar valued spectral measure for A_i .

Multiple operator integrals

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be n normal operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, λ_{A_i} be a scalar valued spectral measure for A_i . It means that λ_{A_i} is a finite measure on $\sigma(A_i)$ such that, if E^{A_i} is the spectral measure of A_i then, for any borelian subset $\Delta \subset \sigma(A_i)$, we have

$$\lambda_{A_i}(\Delta) = 0 \iff E^{A_i}(\Delta) = 0.$$

Multiple operator integrals

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be n normal operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, λ_{A_i} be a scalar valued spectral measure for A_i . It means that λ_{A_i} is a finite measure on $\sigma(A_i)$ such that, if E^{A_i} is the spectral measure of A_i then, for any borelian subset $\Delta \subset \sigma(A_i)$, we have

$$\lambda_{A_i}(\Delta) = 0 \iff E^{A_i}(\Delta) = 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2)$ be the space of bounded $(n-1)$ -linear maps from the product of $(n-1)$ copies of $S^2(\mathcal{H})$ into $S^2(\mathcal{H})$.

Let

$$\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n} : L^\infty(\lambda_{A_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^\infty(\lambda_{A_n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2)$$

be defined, for any $f_i \in L^\infty(\lambda_{A_i})$ and for any $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} \in S^2$, by

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n}(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) \right](X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \\ f_1(A_1)X_1 f_2(A_2) \cdots f_{n-1}(A_{n-1})X_{n-1} f_n(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n} : L^\infty(\lambda_{A_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^\infty(\lambda_{A_n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2)$$

be defined, for any $f_i \in L^\infty(\lambda_{A_i})$ and for any $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} \in S^2$, by

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n}(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) \right](X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \\ f_1(A_1)X_1 f_2(A_2) \cdots f_{n-1}(A_{n-1})X_{n-1} f_n(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM (C. C., C. LE MERDY, F. SUKOCHEV, 2017)

$\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n}$ extends to a w^* -continuous isometry

$$\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n} : L^\infty \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{A_i} \right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2).$$

Operators of the form

$$\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi)$$

for $\phi \in L^\infty(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{A_i})$ are called *multiple operator integral mappings*.

Operators of the form

$$\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi)$$

for $\phi \in L^\infty(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{A_i})$ are called *multiple operator integral mappings*.

- When \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional, double operator integrals mappings behave like linear Schur multipliers and multiple operator integrals behave like multilinear Schur multipliers.

Operators of the form

$$\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi)$$

for $\phi \in L^\infty(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{A_i})$ are called *multiple operator integral mappings*.

- When \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional, double operator integrals mappings behave like linear Schur multipliers and multiple operator integrals behave like multilinear Schur multipliers.

If $A_i = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^i P_k^i \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi) \right] (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=1}^N \varphi(\lambda_{k_1}^1, \dots, \lambda_{k_n}^n) P_{k_1}^1 X_1 P_{k_2}^2 \cdots P_{k_{n-1}}^{n-1} X_{n-1} P_{k_n}^n \end{aligned}$$

Operators of the form

$$\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi)$$

for $\phi \in L^\infty(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{A_i})$ are called *multiple operator integral mappings*.

- When \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional, double operator integrals mappings behave like linear Schur multipliers and multiple operator integrals behave like multilinear Schur multipliers.

If $A_i = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^i P_k^i \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi) \right] (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=1}^N \varphi(\lambda_{k_1}^1, \dots, \lambda_{k_n}^n) P_{k_1}^1 X_1 P_{k_2}^2 \cdots P_{k_{n-1}}^{n-1} X_{n-1} P_{k_n}^n \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$f(A) - f(B) = \left[\Gamma^{A, B}(f^{[1]}) \right] (A - B).$$

Boundedness of Multiple operator integrals

THEOREM (C.C., 2019)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, let A_1, \dots, A_n be normal operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let $\phi \in L^\infty(\lambda_{A_1} \times \dots \times \lambda_{A_n})$. The following are equivalent :

THEOREM (C.C., 2019)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, let A_1, \dots, A_n be normal operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let $\phi \in L^\infty(\lambda_{A_1} \times \dots \times \lambda_{A_n})$. The following are equivalent :

- 1 $\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi)$ extends to a (completely) bounded mapping

$$\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi): \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \overset{h}{\otimes} \dots \overset{h}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}).$$

THEOREM (C.C., 2019)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, let A_1, \dots, A_n be normal operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let $\phi \in L^\infty(\lambda_{A_1} \times \dots \times \lambda_{A_n})$. The following are equivalent :

- 1 $\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi)$ extends to a (completely) bounded mapping

$$\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi): \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \overset{h}{\otimes} \dots \overset{h}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}).$$

- 2 There exist separable Hilbert spaces H_1, \dots, H_{n-1} ,

$$a_1 \in L^\infty(\lambda_{A_1}; H_1), a_n \in L^\infty(\lambda_{A_n}; H_{n-1})$$

and

$$a_i \in L^\infty_\sigma(\lambda_{A_i}; \mathcal{B}(H_i, H_{i-1})), 2 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

such that

$$\phi(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \langle a_1(t_1), [a_2(t_2) \dots a_{n-1}(t_{n-1})](a_n(t_n)) \rangle \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Divided differences.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be differentiable. The divided difference of the first order $f^{[1]} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$f^{[1]}(x_0, x_1) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}{x_0 - x_1}, & \text{if } x_0 \neq x_1 \\ f'(x_0) & \text{if } x_0 = x_1 \end{cases}, \quad x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Divided differences.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be differentiable. The divided difference of the first order $f^{[1]} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$f^{[1]}(x_0, x_1) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}{x_0 - x_1}, & \text{if } x_0 \neq x_1 \\ f'(x_0) & \text{if } x_0 = x_1 \end{cases}, \quad x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $n \geq 2$ and f is n -times differentiable on \mathbb{R} , the divided difference of the n th order $f^{[n]} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined recursively by

$$f^{[n]}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) := \begin{cases} \frac{f^{[n-1]}(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n) - f^{[n-1]}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{x_0 - x_1}, & \text{if } x_0 \neq x_1 \\ \partial_1 f^{[n-1]}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } x_0 = x_1 \end{cases}.$$

If $f^{(n)}$ is bounded then $f^{[n]}$ is a bounded Borel function on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

THEOREM

Let $1 < p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, f be n -times differentiable on \mathbb{R} with $f^{(n)}$ bounded. Let A_1, \dots, A_{n+1} be selfadjoint operators in \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) : \mathcal{S}^{pn} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^{pn} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p$$

is bounded and there exists $c_{p,n} > 0$ depending only on p and n such that,

$$\|\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})\| \leq c_{p,n} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty}.$$

THEOREM

Let $1 < p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, f be n -times differentiable on \mathbb{R} with $f^{(n)}$ bounded. Let A_1, \dots, A_{n+1} be selfadjoint operators in \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1}}(f^{[n]}) : \mathcal{S}^{pn} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^{pn} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p$$

is bounded and there exists $c_{p,n} > 0$ depending only on p and n such that,

$$\|\Gamma^{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1}}(f^{[n]})\| \leq c_{p,n} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty}.$$

- D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, A. Skripka (2013) : case $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_{n+1}$.
- C. Le Merdy, A. Skripka (2018) : case $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} distinct.
- C. C. (2019) : case f n -times differentiable.

Higher order derivatives

THEOREM (C.C., C. LE MERDY, A. SKRIPKA, F. SUKOCHEV, 2018)

Let A and K be selfadjoint operators on \mathcal{H} with $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that either A is bounded or $f^{(i)}$ is bounded for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider the function

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}).$$

Higher order derivatives

THEOREM (C.C., C. LE MERDY, A. SKRIPKA, F. SUKOCHEV, 2018)

Let A and K be selfadjoint operators on \mathcal{H} with $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that either A is bounded or $f^{(i)}$ is bounded for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider the function

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}).$$

The function φ belongs to $C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}))$ and for every integer $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{k!} \varphi^{(k)}(t) = \left[\Gamma^{A+tK, A+tK, \dots, A+tK} (f^{[k]}) \right] (K, \dots, K).$$

THEOREM (C. C., 2019)

Let $1 < p < \infty$, A and K be selfadjoint operators in \mathcal{H} with $K \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$ and let f be n -times differentiable on \mathbb{R} such that $f^{(i)}$ is bounded for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider the function

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then φ is n -times differentiable on \mathbb{R} and for any $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\frac{1}{k!} \varphi^{(k)}(t) = \left[\Gamma^{A+tK, A+tK, \dots, A+tK}(f^{[k]}) \right] (K, \dots, K), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular, $\varphi^{(k)}$ is bounded on \mathbb{R} .

THEOREM (C. C., 2019)

Let $1 < p < \infty$, A and K be selfadjoint operators in \mathcal{H} with $K \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$ and let f be n -times differentiable on \mathbb{R} such that $f^{(i)}$ is bounded for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider the function

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then φ is n -times differentiable on \mathbb{R} and for any $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\frac{1}{k!} \varphi^{(k)}(t) = \left[\Gamma^{A+tK, A+tK, \dots, A+tK}(f^{[k]}) \right] (K, \dots, K), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular, $\varphi^{(k)}$ is bounded on \mathbb{R} .

Remark : If A is bounded, we can assume that f is only n -times differentiable on \mathbb{R} with $f^{(n)}$ locally bounded. In this case, the derivatives of φ are also locally bounded.

PROPOSITION

Same assumptions on f . Let $1 < p < \infty$, K be a selfadjoint operator in \mathcal{H} with $K \in \mathcal{S}^{np}(\mathcal{H})$. Denote

$$R_{n,p,A,K,f} = f(A + K) - f(A) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(f(A + tK) \right) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

Then,

$$R_{n,p,A,K,f} = \left[\Gamma^{A+K,A,\dots,A}(f^{[n]}) \right] (K, \dots, K), \quad (1)$$

and we have the inequality

$$\|R_{n,p,A,K,f}\|_p \leq c_{p,n} \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty \|K\|_{np}^n. \quad (2)$$

PROPOSITION

Let $1 < p < \infty$, let A and K be selfadjoint operators in \mathcal{H} with $K \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Let $n \geq 2$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that either A is bounded or $f^{(i)}$ is bounded for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the function

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$$

is n -times continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} .

PROPOSITION

Let $1 < p < \infty$, let A and K be selfadjoint operators in \mathcal{H} with $K \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. Let $n \geq 2$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that either A is bounded or $f^{(i)}$ is bounded for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the function

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$$

is n -times continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} .

THEOREM (C. LE MERDY, A. SKRIPKA, 2018)

Let $1 < p < \infty$, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$ and let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $f', \dots, f^{(n)}$ are bounded. Further assume either that $f^{(n)} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ or that $f^{(n+1)}$ exists and is bounded.

Then f is n -times continuously Fréchet-differentiable at every $A = A^*$.

Sketch of the proof of the theorem for A bounded

Case when $p = 2$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}).$$

Sketch of the proof of the theorem for A bounded

Case when $p = 2$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}).$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{t}(\varphi(t) - \varphi(0)) = [\Gamma^{A+tK, A}(f^{[1]})](K).$$

Sketch of the proof of the theorem for A bounded

Case when $p = 2$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}).$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{t}(\varphi(t) - \varphi(0)) = [\Gamma^{A+tK, A}(f^{[1]})](K).$$

For any $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $u(A + tK) \rightarrow u(A)$ strongly as $t \rightarrow 0$ (we say that $A + tK$ converges to A resolvent strongly),

Sketch of the proof of the theorem for A bounded

Case when $p = 2$ and $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}).$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{t}(\varphi(t) - \varphi(0)) = [\Gamma^{A+tK, A}(f^{[1]})](K).$$

For any $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $u(A + tK) \rightarrow u(A)$ strongly as $t \rightarrow 0$ (we say that $A + tK$ converges to A resolvent strongly), from which we deduce that

$$[\Gamma^{A+tK, A}(f^{[1]})](K) \rightarrow [\Gamma^{A, A}(f^{[1]})](K) \text{ in } \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}).$$

Case $1 < p < \infty$ and f n -times differentiable

Step 1 : It is enough to prove the differentiability for K belonging to a dense subset F of $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$.

Case $1 < p < \infty$ and f n -times differentiable

Step 1 : It is enough to prove the differentiability for K belonging to a dense subset F of $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$.

Step 2 : Take

$$F = \{i[A, Y] + Z \text{ with } Y, Z \in (\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))_{sa} \text{ and } Z \text{ commutes with } A\}.$$

Let $K = i[A, Y] + Z \in F$ and define $\nu(t) = e^{-itY}(A + tZ)e^{itY}$.
We have $\nu(0) = A$ and $\nu'(0) = K$.

Case $1 < p < \infty$ and f n -times differentiable

Step 1 : It is enough to prove the differentiability for K belonging to a dense subset F of $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$.

Step 2 : Take

$$F = \{i[A, Y] + Z \text{ with } Y, Z \in (\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H}))_{sa} \text{ and } Z \text{ commutes with } A\}.$$

Let $K = i[A, Y] + Z \in F$ and define $\nu(t) = e^{-itY}(A + tZ)e^{itY}$.
We have $\nu(0) = A$ and $\nu'(0) = K$.

Step 3 : simplification For the case $n = 1$ (proved by E. Kissin, D. Potapov, V. Shulman and F. Sukochev).

The functions $t \mapsto f(A + tK) - f(A)$ and $t \mapsto f(\nu(t)) - f(\nu(0))$ have the same derivative in 0. But

$$f(\nu(t)) - f(\nu(0)) = e^{-itY} f(A + tZ) e^{itY} - f(A),$$

which we know how to differentiate.

For the higher order case, we use the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, \dots, A}(f^{[n-1]}) \right] (K, \dots, K) - \left[\Gamma^{A, \dots, A}(f^{[n-1]}) \right] (K, \dots, K) \\ &= \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, \dots, A}(f^{[n]}) \right] (K, \dots, K). \end{aligned}$$

For the higher order case, we use the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, \dots, A}(f^{[n-1]}) \right] (K, \dots, K) - \left[\Gamma^{A, \dots, A}(f^{[n-1]}) \right] (K, \dots, K) \\ &= \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, \dots, A}(f^{[n]}) \right] (K, \dots, K). \end{aligned}$$

combined with the previous steps...

Trace formula

Assume that $K \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$ and that f' is bounded. It is not always true that $f(A + K) - f(A) \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$.

Trace formula

Assume that $K \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$ and that f' is bounded. It is not always true that $f(A + K) - f(A) \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$.

Assume that f is Operator-Lipschitz : $f(A + K) - f(A) \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$ for any $A = A^*$ and any $K = K^* \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$.

This implies that $f'(x)$ exists for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and that f' is bounded.

Trace formula

Assume that $K \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$ and that f' is bounded. It is not always true that $f(A + K) - f(A) \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$.

Assume that f is Operator-Lipschitz : $f(A + K) - f(A) \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$ for any $A = A^*$ and any $K = K^* \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$.

This implies that $f'(x)$ exists for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and that f' is bounded.

Then (V. Peller, 2016) there exists a function $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f(A + K) - f(A)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(t)\xi(t) dt.$$

Trace formula

Assume that $K \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$ and that f' is bounded. It is not always true that $f(A + K) - f(A) \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$.

Assume that f is Operator-Lipschitz : $f(A + K) - f(A) \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$ for any $A = A^*$ and any $K = K^* \in S^1(\mathcal{H})$.

This implies that $f'(x)$ exists for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and that f' is bounded.

Then (V. Peller, 2016) there exists a function $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\text{Tr}(f(A + K) - f(A)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(t)\xi(t) dt.$$

For example, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$,

$$\text{Tr}((A + K - \alpha I)^{-1} - (A - \alpha I)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(t - \alpha)^2} \xi(t) dt.$$

Assume now that $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with f', f'' bounded.

Assume now that $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with f', f'' bounded. We have

$$f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} = \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, A}(f^{[2]}) \right] (K, K)$$

Assume now that $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with f', f'' bounded.
We have

$$f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} = \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, A}(f^{[2]}) \right] (K, K)$$

...which is not necessarily an element of $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$!

Assume now that $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with f', f'' bounded. We have

$$f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} = \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, A}(f^{[2]}) \right] (K, K)$$

...which is not necessarily an element of $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$!

How to ensure that $f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} \in \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$?

Assume now that $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with f', f'' bounded. We have

$$f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} = \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, A}(f^{[2]}) \right] (K, K)$$

...which is not necessarily an element of $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$!

How to ensure that $f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} \in \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$?

(C. C., C. Le Merdy, F. Sukochev, 2017) Assume that there exist a separable Hilbert space H and two bounded Borel functions $a, b : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow H$ such that

$$f^{[2]}(s, t, u) = \langle a(s, t), b(t, u) \rangle, \quad \forall (s, t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Assume now that $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with f', f'' bounded. We have

$$f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} = \left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, A}(f^{[2]}) \right] (K, K)$$

...which is not necessarily an element of $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$!

How to ensure that $f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} \in \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$?

(C. C., C. Le Merdy, F. Sukochev, 2017) Assume that there exist a separable Hilbert space H and two bounded Borel functions $a, b : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow H$ such that

$$f^{[2]}(s, t, u) = \langle a(s, t), b(t, u) \rangle, \quad \forall (s, t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then $f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}f(A + tK)|_{t=0} \in \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$!

THEOREM (C.C, C. LE MERDY, A. SKRIPKA, F. SUKOCHEV, 2018)

Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be such that f'' is bounded. Assume that there exist a separable Hilbert space H and two bounded Borel functions $a, b : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow H$ such that

$$f^{[2]}(s, t, u) = \langle a(s, t), b(t, u) \rangle, \quad \forall (s, t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Let A, K be selfadjoint operators densely defined in \mathcal{H} with $K \in S^2(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM (C.C, C. LE MERDY, A. SKRIPKA, F. SUKOCHEV, 2018)

Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be such that f'' is bounded. Assume that there exist a separable Hilbert space H and two bounded Borel functions $a, b : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow H$ such that

$$f^{[2]}(s, t, u) = \langle a(s, t), b(t, u) \rangle, \quad \forall (s, t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Let A, K be selfadjoint operators densely defined in \mathcal{H} with $K \in S^2(\mathcal{H})$. Then, there exists $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (Koplienko spectral shift function) such that

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left(\left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, A}(f^{[2]}) \right] (K, K) \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f''(t) \eta(t) dt.$$

THEOREM (C.C, C. LE MERDY, A. SKRIPKA, F. SUKOCHEV, 2018)

Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be such that f'' is bounded. Assume that there exist a separable Hilbert space H and two bounded Borel functions $a, b : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow H$ such that

$$f^{[2]}(s, t, u) = \langle a(s, t), b(t, u) \rangle, \quad \forall (s, t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Let A, K be selfadjoint operators densely defined in \mathcal{H} with $K \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$. Then, there exists $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (Koplienko spectral shift function) such that

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left(\left[\Gamma^{A+K, A, A}(f^{[2]}) \right] (K, K) \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f''(t) \eta(t) dt.$$

If, in addition, either f' is bounded or A is bounded, then

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left(f(A + K) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt} f(A + tK) \Big|_{t=0} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f''(t) \eta(t) dt.$$

What functions $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ are such that $f^{[2]}$ satisfies this factorization property?

What functions $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ are such that $f^{[2]}$ satisfies this factorization property?

\rightsquigarrow For example $f(x) = \frac{1}{(x - \alpha)^k}, k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

What functions $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ are such that $f^{[2]}$ satisfies this factorization property?

\rightsquigarrow For example $f(x) = \frac{1}{(x - \alpha)^k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

\rightsquigarrow The functions in the Besov class $f \in B_{\infty,1}^2(\mathbb{R})$.

What functions $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ are such that $f^{[2]}$ satisfies this factorization property?

\rightsquigarrow For example $f(x) = \frac{1}{(x - \alpha)^k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

\rightsquigarrow The functions in the Besov class $f \in B_{\infty,1}^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Is this result optimal?

A few questions...

1. If $A = A^* \in \mathcal{S}^2(H)$, $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is unitary, $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with f' bounded, is

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(e^{itA}U) - f(U) \in \mathcal{S}^2(H)$$

differentiable?

A few questions...

1. If $A = A^* \in \mathcal{S}^2(H)$, $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is unitary, $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with f' bounded, is

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(e^{itA}U) - f(U) \in \mathcal{S}^2(H)$$

differentiable?

2. If $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, bounded, and such that

$$\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi): \mathcal{S}^p \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p$$

is bounded, do we have continuity with respect to the A_1, \dots, A_n ?

A few questions...

1. If $A = A^* \in \mathcal{S}^2(H)$, $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is unitary, $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with f' bounded, is

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(e^{itA}U) - f(U) \in \mathcal{S}^2(H)$$

differentiable?

2. If $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, bounded, and such that

$$\Gamma^{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\phi): \mathcal{S}^p \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p$$

is bounded, do we have continuity with respect to the A_1, \dots, A_n ?

3. Replace \mathcal{S}^p by a non-commutative L^p -space.

Thank you !